



Level of Review (ENV-CENST)		Yes	No	N/A	Comments
3	<b>Categorically Excluded Activities §58.35(b) Not subject to §58.5</b> (e.g., economic development (non-construction), supportive services, utilities, supplies, etc.)				
	a) Determination documented in writing (ADECA Finding of Categorically Excluded Not Subject to §58.5 Form (ENV-CENST))	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	b) Categorically Excluded Not Subject to §58.5 Form signed (certifying officer) and dated (ENV-CENST)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	c) A completed 58.6 "Other Requirements" form (ENV-ORC)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	<b>Note: No public notification required (RROF)</b>				<b>NOTE: When this Level of Review is Applicable, Parts B &amp; D must be completed</b>

Revised 01/15

**Part B: "Other Requirements" Checklist: Related Federal Laws and Authorities 24 CFR 58.6 (Applies to all project types)**

<b>1. Floodplain Insurance (24 CFR 58.6(a) &amp; (b)) [Section 202 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973]</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>Comments/Documentation</b>
<p>Compliance and Documentation: The environmental review record should contain <u><b>ONE</b></u> of the following:</p> <p>a) Documentation supporting the determination that the project does not require flood insurance or is excepted from flood insurance.</p> <p>b) A FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) showing that the project is not located in a Special Flood Hazard Area.</p> <p>c) A FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) showing that the project is located in a Special Flood Hazard Area along with a copy of the flood insurance policy declaration or a paid receipt for the current annual flood insurance premium and a copy of the application for flood insurance in the review.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

<b>2. Coastal Barrier Resources (24 CFR 58.6(c))</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>Comments/Documentation</b>
<p>Compliance and Documentation: The environmental review record should contain <u><b>ONE</b></u> of the following:</p> <p>a) A general location map establishing there are no Coastal Barrier Resource System units in the city or county</p> <p>b) A map issued by the FWS or FEMA (or from their website) showing that the proposed project is not located within a designated Coastal Barrier Resource System Unit. The FEMA map panel number must be cited within the ERR.</p> <p>c) Approval of the project from the FWS, including all prior correspondence.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

<b>3. Airport Clear Zone Notification (24 CFR 58.6(d))</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>Comments/Documentation</b>
<p>Compliance and Documentation: The environmental review record should contain <u><b>ONE</b></u> of the following:</p> <p>a) If the site is in a designated RPZ/CZ and the project involves the acquisition or sale of an existing property that will be frequently used or occupied by people, a copy of the notice to prospective buyers signed by the prospective buyer.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

Revised 01/15

c) If the SHPO has not responded to a properly documented request for concurrence within 30 days of receipt of the request, document the request and lack of response as part of the record.

☐ ☐ ☐

☐ **3. Adverse Effect (select ONE type of documentation below)**

a) Notification of adverse effect sent to Advisory Council on Historic Preservation.

☐ ☐ ☐

b) Letter from SHPO (or THPO on tribal lands) that concurs with a finding of "adverse effect."

☐ ☐ ☐

c) With documentation on 1) the undertaking and the APE (including photographs, maps, and drawings, as necessary), 2) steps taken to identify historic properties, 3) affected historic properties (including characteristics qualifying them for the NR), 4) the undertaking's effects on historic properties, 5) why the criteria of adverse effect are applicable (§ 800.5), 6) evidence of tribal consultation if required, and 7) copies or summaries of any views provided by consulting parties and the public.

☐ ☐ ☐

d) A Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) or a Programmatic Agreement (PA) signed by the HUD official or Responsible Entity, SHPO/THPO, the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation if participating, and other signatory and concurring parties.

☐ ☐ ☐

e) If resolution is not reached in an MOA or PA, provide correspondence and comments between the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation and HUD Secretary (for Part 50 projects) or Responsible Entity's chief elected local official (for Part 58 projects).

☐ ☐ ☐

Revised 01/15

Revised 01/15



7. Sole Source Aquifers [40 CFR 149]	Yes	No	N/A	Comments/Documentation
<p>Compliance and Documentation:</p> <p>The environmental review record should contain <u><b>ONE</b></u> of the following:</p>				
<p>a) Documentation, including a map, showing that the project site is not on a sole source aquifer.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<p>b) A determination that the project consists solely of acquisition, leasing, or rehabilitation of existing buildings.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<p>c) Documentation showing that a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) or agreement with the EPA excludes your project from further review.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<p>d) Documentation that EPA has reviewed and commented on the proposed action within an SSA and a description of any mitigation measures, if necessary.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

9. Wild and Scenic Rivers [36 CFR 297]	Yes	No	N/A	Comments/Documentation
Compliance and Documentation: The environmental review record should contain <u><b>ONE</b></u> of the following:				
a) Evidence the proposed action is not within proximity of a National Wild and Scenic River System (NWSRS) river listed below (must clear each category):				
I. Wild and Scenic Rivers	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
II. Study Rivers	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
III. Nationwide Rivers Inventory (NRI)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
b) Documentation that contact was made with the Federal (or state) agency that has administrative responsibility for management of the river and that the proposed action will not affect river designation or is not inconsistent with the management and land use plan for the designated river area.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

Revised 01/15

12. Noise Abatement and Control [24 CFR 51B]	Yes	No	N/A	Comments/Documentation
<p>Compliance and Documentation:</p> <p>The environmental review record should contain <b><u>ONE</u></b> of the following:</p> <p>a) Documentation that the proposed action does not involve a noise sensitive subject matter.</p> <p>b) Documentation the proposed action is not within 1000 feet of a major roadway, 3,000 feet of a railroad, or 15 miles of a military or FAA-regulated civil airfield.</p> <p>c) If within those distances, documentation showing the noise level is Acceptable (at or below 65 DNL).</p> <p>d) If within those distances, documentation showing that there's an effective noise barrier (i.e., that provides sufficient protection).</p> <p>e) Documentation showing the noise generated by the noise source(s) is Normally Unacceptable (66 – 75 DNL) and identifying noise attenuation requirements that will bring the interior noise level to 45 DNL and/or exterior noise level to 65 DNL.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

13. Explosive and Flammable Facilities [24 CFR 51C]	Yes	No	N/A	Comments/Documentation
<p>Documentation and Compliance:</p> <p>The environmental review record should include:</p> <p><b><u>ONE</u></b> of the following on aboveground storage tanks:</p> <p>a) A determination that the project does not include development, construction, rehabilitation that will increase residential densities, or conversion.</p> <p>b) Evidence that within one mile of the project site there are no current or planned stationary aboveground storage containers of more than 100-gallon capacity containing common liquid industrial fuels or of any capacity containing hazardous liquids or gases that are not common liquid industrial fuels.</p> <p>c) A determination along with all supporting documentation that the separation distance of such containers from the project is acceptable.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

Continued to d) on next page...

d) Documentation of the existing or planned barrier that would serve as sufficient mitigation, including correspondence with a licensed engineer.

☐ ☐ ☐

**AND ONE** of the following on hazardous facilities:

a) A determination that the project does not include a hazardous facility.

☐ ☐ ☐

b) A determination along with all supporting documentation that the hazardous facility is located at an acceptable separation distance from residences and any other facility or area where people may congregate or be present.

☐ ☐ ☐

c) Documentation of the existing or planned barrier that would serve as sufficient mitigation, including correspondence with a licensed engineer.

☐ ☐ ☐

14. Airport Clear Zones and Accident Potential Zones [24 CFR 51D]	Yes	No	N/A	Comments/Documentation
Compliance and Documentation: The environmental review record should contain <b><u>ONE</u></b> of the following:				
a) Documentation that the rule is not applicable to the proposed project (i.e., acquisition of an existing building, "minor" rehabilitation, or emergency action).	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
b) A map showing the site is not within 15,000 feet of a military airport or within 2,500 feet of a civilian airport.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
c) If within 15,000 feet of a military airport, a map showing the site is not within a designated APZ (Accident Potential Zone) or a letter from the airport operator stating so.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
d) If within 2,500 feet of a civilian airport, a map showing the site is not within a designated RPZ/CZ (Runway Protection Zone/Clear Zone) or a letter from the airport operator stating so.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
e) If the site is in a designated APZ, documentation of consistency with DOD (Department of Defense) Land Use Compatibility Guidelines.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Continued on next page...</b>				

f) If the site is in a designated RPZ/CZ and the project does not involve any facilities that will be frequently used or occupied by people, and a determination of such and a written assurance from the airport operator that there are no plans to purchase the land as part of a RPZ/CZ program.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
g) If the site is in a designated RPZ/CZ and the project involves the acquisition or sale of an existing property that will be frequently used or occupied by people, a copy of the notice to prospective buyers signed by the prospective buyer.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

15. Site Contamination [24 CFR 58.5(i)(2)]	Yes	No	N/A	Comments/Documentation
Documentation and Compliance: For non-FHA-insured (Fair Housing Authority) programs, the environmental review record should contain <b><u>ONE</u></b> of the following:				
a) Evidence the site is not contaminated (for multifamily housing projects this includes on site and off site contamination and previous uses of the site); a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment is strongly encouraged for multifamily and non-residential projects.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
b) Evidence supporting a determination the hazard will not affect health and safety of the occupants or conflict with the intended use of the site, including any mitigation measures used.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
c) Documentation the site has been cleaned up according to EPA or state standards for residential properties, which requires a letter of "No Further Action" (NFA) required from the appropriate state department/agency, or a RAO (Response Action Outcome) letter from the LSRP (Licensed Site Remediation Professional).	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

16. Environmental Justice [Executive Order 12898]	Yes	No	N/A	Comments/Documentation
<p>Compliance and Documentation:</p> <p>Review land use plans, census information and the U.S. EPA Environmental Justice webpage (EJ View). Consider local government sources such as the health department or school district that may be more current or focused on the neighborhood as their unit of analysis.</p> <p>The environmental review record should contain <u><b>ONE</b></u> of the following:</p>				
<p>a) Evidence that the site or surrounding neighborhood does not suffer from adverse environmental conditions and evidence that the proposed action will not create an adverse and disproportionate environmental impact or aggravate an existing impact. (Describe how the proposed action will not have a disproportionate adverse impact on minority or low-income populations.)</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<p>b) Evidence that the project is not in an environmental justice community of concern (demographics, income, etc.) or evidence that the project does not disproportionately affect a low-income or minority population.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<p>c) If there are adverse effects on low-income or minority populations, documentation that that the affected community residents have been meaningfully informed and involved in a participatory planning process to address (remove, minimize, or mitigate) the adverse effect from the project and the resulting changes.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

**Part D: Environmental Review Record (ERR) Section 58.38**

		Yes	No	N/A	Comments/Documentation
1	Has the Grantee established an ERR? [§58.38]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
2	Briefly describe the Grantee's system for carrying out its environmental review responsibilities (e.g., staff, consultant).				
3	Is there evidence that the staff person(s) designated by the Grantee lacks knowledge or training on environmental review requirements for ADECA programs?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
4	Is there a separate ERR for each project? - If "No", indicate which items were deficient. [§58.38]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
5	Do the ERRs reviewed contain project descriptions, including geographic boundaries (where applicable) and reference all activities included as part of the overall project? [§58.38]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
6	For the time period reviewed, has ADECA received any objections raising noncompliance issues about the Grantee? If "Yes", were the objections addressed by the Grantee after ADECA forwarded them? [§58.75]	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
7	Do the ERRs reviewed contain Findings of No Significant Impact (FONSI)? [§58.38] a) Was the FONSI signed (certifying officer) and dated after all concurrences had been received? b) Was the FONSI signed (certifying officer) and dated prior to the publication or posting of the FONSI?	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
8	Do the ERRs reviewed contain copies of the published "Notice of Intent to Request Release of Funds" (NOI/RROF) or combined Notices of FONSI and NOI/RROF? [§58.38]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
9	Were notices published/posted in compliance with Executive Order 13166 (Language Access Plan)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
10	<b>24 CFR Part 55 – Floodplain Management</b> Where projects were located in floodplains or, if new construction, in wetlands, does the ERR contain evidence of compliance with: a) 24 CFR Part 55 or equivalent public notice and decision-making procedures under Executive Orders 11988 and 11990 (ERR contains the eight-step process)? [§55.20] b) That all practical alternatives to locating the project in a floodplain or wetland were considered? c) Where projects were located in floodplains or wetlands, does the ERR contain copies of the following published notices (notices must be published and not posted): I. An Early Notice and Public Review of a Proposed Activity in a 100-year Floodplain II. A Final Notice and Public Review of a Proposed Activity in a 100-year Floodplain	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
11	Do the ERRs reviewed contain copies of "ADECA's Request for Release of Funds and Certification" Form Equivalent of HUD Form 7015.15? [§58.38] (ENV-RROFC)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	



		Yes	No	N/A	Comments/Documentation
12	Do the ERRs reviewed contain the ADECA's "Notice of Removal of Grant Conditions" Form Equivalent of HUD Form 7015.16. [§58.22] (ENV-NRGC) (Applies to "Exempt", "Not Subject To", and "Subject to that Converts to Exempt" projects)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
13	Do the ERRs reviewed contain the ADECA's "Notice of Removal of Grant Conditions" equivalent of HUD Form 7015.16, signed (certifying officer) and dated by ADECA, indicating that 15 days were allowed for objections? [§58.38] (Applies to "Subject To" and "Environmental Assessment" projects)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
14	For the records reviewed, do the Grantee records show that no grant funds were obligated or spent [other than for activities under 24 CFR 58.22(f), 58.34, or 58.35(b)] prior to receipt of the ADECA's "Notice of Removal of Grant Conditions". [§58.22]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
15	For the records reviewed, do the Grantee records show that no physical development activities began prior to receipt of the ADECA's "Notice of Removal of Grant Conditions". [§58.22]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
16	For the activity/project records reviewed, were the NOI/RROFs and/or FONSI signed and dated prior to its publication or posting?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
17	For the activity /project records reviewed, were the NOI/RROFs and/or FONSI disseminated to the following: I. Interested individuals and groups II. Appropriate tribal, local, State, and Federal Agencies III. Local newspapers	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
18	If there were projects over a one or more year period, were environmental reviews conducted on the full scope of multi-year activities?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
19	If projects or activities were a continuation of a previously approved project, was a written decision supporting the continued applicability of the previous environmental review and clearance included in the ERR?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
20	Does the ERR contain documentation to support that applicable Federal laws and authorities listed at 24 CFR 58.5 and the laws listed at §58.6 "Other Requirements" were addressed and recognized authoritative sources used to support conclusions? (Refer to Statutory Checklist)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
21	If mitigation measures were required were they included in the ERR as part of the actions pertaining to the environmental review?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

Comments/Concerns: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_